

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/723,852		11/28/2000	Christopher Brian Brodeur	760-19	6459		
23869	7590	09/08/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
HOFFMAN 6900 JERIC		RON, LLP		THALER, M	THALER, MICHAEL H		
	YOSSET, NY 11791			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ĺ			·	3731	<u> </u>		

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	y					
Advisory Action	09/723,852	BRODEUR ET AL.	·					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	-					
·	Michael Thaler	3731						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 02 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average in a rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the comment which a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a tion in					
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 1.17(b) above.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be								
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	·							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the					
(d) they present additional claims without cancell	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.								
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject								
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 2-5 9-13 15 22-25. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ app	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.						
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·						
10. Other:		Mul In						
		Michael Thaler Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3731						

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new issues arise from the new limitation "strips" in claim 9, line 2 which is inconsistent with claim 23 since claim 23 defines the strips as being generally straight while claim 9 defines the strips as being spirally wrapped .